

School of Law
Faculty Meeting
January 23, 1984
Conference Room, 4 PM

Present: Bowler, Browde, Desiderio, DuMars, Ellis, J., Fink, Hart, Hermann, Hernandez, Johnson, K., Kovnat, Martinez, Mathewson, Nathanson, Norwood, Occhialino, Ragsdale, Romero, Schwartz, Simson, Stelzner, Taylor, Teitelbaum, Winograd. Student Reps: Selde, Hennings-Sachs, Roberts.

Minutes of the 13 January 1984 faculty meeting were accepted as written.

As a matter of information to open the meeting, Deans Desiderio and Winograd discussed the status of this year's law school admission applications. Applications nationwide are down approximately 14%, here at UNM they are down 15% for residents and 18% for non-residents, all in relation to last year's data.

The faculty proceeded to discuss at length the general issue of law school instructional positions for academic year 1984/85. Professor Occhialino began the discussion with the question of whether it might be appropriate to consider using funds available for additional faculty positions as a source for funding serious faculty research projects, especially during Summer periods. After considerable discussion a motion by Professor Occhialino, ~~seconded by Professor DuMars,~~ # was made not to fill a faculty position next year, and to earmark those funds for application to "other priorities", i.e. faculty research projects, on a one year experimental basis. The motion was tabled until the next meeting, now scheduled for 6 February 1984.

Dean Desiderio reminded the faculty of Professor Pam Minzner's luncheon on Thursday, 26 January and her formal swearing-in as a member of the New Mexico Court of Appeals to be held the following afternoon (Friday, 27 January) here at the UNM School of Law.

The faculty was also reminded of the colloquium featuring Sam Deloria, Director of the American Indian Law Center, scheduled for the Conference Room at 4 PM, Monday, 30 January 1984.

The meeting adjourned at 5:30 PM.

Respectfully submitted,


Edward K. Fuge
Faculty Secretary

At meeting 6 Feb 1984 Prof. DuMars indicated that although the motion had been duly seconded it was not he who individually did so, and wanted the record so amended.

The minutes are amended to read "duly seconded" at the request of the Chair, with approval of the faculty.