School of Law Faculty Meeting April 26, 1982 Conference Room 4:00 p.m.

## MINUTES

Present: Bowler, Browde, Desiderio, J. Ellis, W. Ellis, Fink,

Flickinger, Goldberg, Gonzales, Johnson, Kovnat, Muir, Nathanson, Norwood, Occhialino, Parnall, Romero, Scales, Schwartz, Stelzner, Utton, Winograd; Student Representative

Garcia; Camp

Dean Desiderio called the meeting to order; minutes of the meeting of April 19, 1982, were approved.

Dean indicated that work-study students were available this summer, and that a limited number of student research assistants would also be available. He further mentioned that no student would be hired for more than 20 hours.

Goldberg, Chairman of the Curriculum Committee, read the list of probable adjunct professors for the coming academic year. After distribution of a new curriculum handout, the faculty voted affirmatively on the Committee's recommendations, subject to possible fine-tuning.

The Committee's recommendation that we offer more required courses in the second and third years was discussed. There was a motion to table the present recommendation and to direct the Committee to plan a series of discussions during next year to aid in deciding whether or not there should be required courses, and, if so, which courses. Motion carried.

Dean suggested that meetings of faculty members be scheduled in order to exchange views as to advice and recommendations given to students for curriculum choices.

Also, he reminded the faculty to get examinations questions to Janet Cox and to obtain student evaluations as suggested at the April 12th meeting.

Meeting adjourned.

Respectfully submitted,

Louise R. Camp

Sec 'y

Next meeting: Monday, May 3, 1982

Committee on Promotion, Tenure and Reappointment

Minutes of Meeting - April 26, 1982

Present: Dean Desiderio, Chair, Dean Winograd, Professors J. Ellis, W. Ellis, Fink, Flickinger, Goldberg, Gonzales, Kovnat, Muir, Norwood, Occhialino, Parnall, Romero, Scales, Schwartz, Stelzner, Utton.

The subject of the meeting was the mid-probationary review of Professor Michael Browde. The dean explained the nature and purpose of the mid-probationary review process as described in the Faculty Handbook and the Law School Tenure, Reappointment and Promotion Policy.

A report of the Subcommittee on Promotion, Tenure and Reappointment was circulated and discussed. The Committeee adopted the attached report unanimously.

Members of the Committee then enthusiastically expressed that Professor Browde was a fine teacher, productive scholar, and helpful colleague. Specifically, Professor Browde was described as giving of himself wholeheartedly to both faculty and students, and as giving advice with candor. Moreover, his special contributions to law journal and moot court students was expressed.

Professor Theodore Parnall Acting Secretary